2012 Annual Report College of Micronesia

- I. Report Overview
- 1. Enter an Executive Summary for your Fiscal Year 2012 Report (6400 characters).
- 2. Enter the Total Actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs for the State.

 Extension Research

Year: 2012

	1862	1890	1862	1890	Others*
Plan	40		10		
Actual					

II. Merit Review Process

	1. Select the	Merit Review	Process	that was	employed	for this	year. (Check	all that
aį	pply).							

 Internal University (college) Panel
 External University Panel
 External Non-University Panel
 Combined Internal and External University Panel
 Combined Internal and External University and External Non-University Panel
 Expert Peer Review
Other

2. Tell us about your Merit Review and/or Peer Review Process completed this year. (3200 characters).

Project proposals were developed as a result of meetings and consultation with stakeholders and also based on existing plans of work for research and extension. The proposals were submitted to a publication, merit or scientifically acceptable peer review committees for comments and suggestions. Other special project proposals were subject to peer review within and outside of the colleges by other stakeholders and also subjected to review by advisory committees. Proposals were also posted on websites. Once comments were incorporated into the proposals, the Vice-President of Cooperative Research and Extension then submitted them for review and approval at each college. Final proposals were submitted to the AES/CES Interim Director through the college Presidents for approval or disapproval.

III. Stakeholders Input

1.	Actions	taken	to	seek	stakeholder's	input	that	encourages	their	participation
(Ch	eck all th	at appl	v.)							

__ Use of media to announce public meetings and listening sessions

Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder groups
Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder groups
Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder individuals
Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder individuals
Targeted invitation to selected Individual from general public
Survey of traditional stakeholder groups
Survey of traditional stakeholder individuals
Survey of general public
Survey specifically with non-traditional groups
Survey specifically with non-traditional individuals
Survey of selected individuals from the general public
Other
Briefly explain how you encouraged stakeholder participation (3200 characters).
When meetings were called to discuss research and extension planned activities,
stakeholders such as community leaders, farmers, homemakers, traditional leaders and
political leaders, were directly involved in the discussions. Many of their suggestions and
comments were included in the planned research and extension activities. In some cases,
research activities were done in farmers' fields and in so doing farmers participated directly in
the implementation of projects. Scheduled meetings were also held in the communities to
inform community leaders, farmers, and homemakers, political and traditional leaders about
progress being made with research and extension activities. During these meetings,
stakeholders were given the opportunity to ask questions, make comments, and share traditional knowledge and even suggested changes or other activities that are more important
and relevant to the needs of their communities. Other methods of encouraging stakeholder
participation were done through direct meetings and workshops with different sectors of the
population to solicit their inputs in identifying priority issues.
population to conoit their inpute in lacinarying priority locace.
2(A). A brief statement of the process that was used by the recipient institution to
identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them.
(Part – 1)
1. Method to identify individuals and groups. (Check all that apply)
Use Advisory Committee
Use Internal focus Group
Use External Focus Groups
Open Listening Sessions
Needs Assessments
Use Surveys
otherReferred by others
Briefly explain your methods for identifying individuals and groups. (3200 characters)

Farmers, homemakers, political, traditional and community leaders were requested to identify names of individuals or groups in their respective communities who should be attending

meetings and workshops. Other individuals were those working on similar programs with other agencies and those recommended by peers. Those identified were informed via letter, radio or through personal visits when meetings or trainings were held. Other methods were through strategic planning meetings, interagency collaboration, community associations and direct client contact and needs assessment surveys directly in the field. Meetings/discussions were also held with school authorities, church leaders, parents and the general public on the implementation of community projects.

2 (B). A brief statement of the process that was used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them:

1. Metho	ods for collecting stakeholders input. (Check all that apply). Meeting with traditional Stakeholders groups; Survey of traditional Stakeholder Individuals Meeting with traditional Stakeholder Individuals Survey of traditional Stakeholder Individuals Meeting with the general public (open meeting advertised to all) Survey of the general public Meeting specifically with non-traditional groups Survey specifically with non-traditional individuals Meeting specifically with non-traditional individuals Survey specifically with non-traditional individuals Meeting with invited selected individuals from the general public
	Survey of selected Individuals from the general public
	Other
Some of the in the common and field obsalso used. You community go Childhood Edidentifying poquestionnaire	methods used for collecting stakeholder input. (3200 characters) methods used for collecting stakeholder input were one-on-one visits conducted unities and through discussions and interviews with community leaders. Surveys servations in addition to farmers association and other community meetings were outh programs were developed through discussions with schools, church and troups and through direct assistance to government agencies such as the Early ducation (ECE) recruitment programs. Stakeholders were directly involved in ositions and hiring of new upper level staff. Other methods used were es, need assessments, Board of Regents reviews, annual retreat, cabinet level distudent recruitment campaigns.
3. How the	input was considered. (Check all that apply). In the Budget Process To identify emerging issues Redirect Extension Programs Redirect Research Program In the Staff Hiring Process In the action plans

To Set Priorities	
Others	

Briefly explain how you used the input given by stakeholders (3200 characters).

During meetings with stakeholders, suggestions, comments and modifications from them were sorted out and those with positive impacts to research and extension project proposals were incorporated. It also helped with planning and prioritization of the next year's planned program activities. The review of strategic action plans, hiring of senior research and administrative positions, and focusing on special projects were also used to collect stakeholder inputs. State agencies assisted in developing programs and focus budgets for activities supported by matching funds through MOAs.

Key Stakeholder input items for CSREES Attention: What did you learn from your Stakeholders? (3200 characters)

We learned that farmers, homemakers, fishermen, community groups and others are good sources of traditional knowledge which can be applied and used to improve social, agricultural and environmental issues. Entrepreneurs interested in business development lack marketing strategies and training is necessary for them to be successful.

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)? Name of Planned Program: Aquaculture

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862	%1862
		Extension	Research
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife		10
		10	
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity	10	10
301	Reproductive Performance of Animals	10.0	10.0
302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals	15.0	15.0
307	Animal Management Systems	15.0	15.0
308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)	10	10.0
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection	10.0	10.0
511	New and Improved Non-Food Products and	10.0	10.0
	Processes		
608	Community Resource Planning and	10	10
	Management		
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

		Extension		Research		
	1862	1890	1862	1890		
Plan	3.0		2.0			
2012						

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

	Extens	Research				
	Smith-Lever 3b & 3c Evans-Allen	;	1890 Ex	tension	Н	atch
2012	\$	0		\$		0
	1862 Matching 1890 Matching		1890 Matching		1862 Ma	atching
2012	0	0		0		0
	1862 All Other 1890 All Other		1890 All Other		1862 All	Other
2012	0	0		0		0

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

1.	Brief description	of Activity (What	was done?): (3200	characters)
----	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	500	3000	350	3000
2012				

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).

Patents Received

Year Target

Plan	0
2012	0

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

Extension		Research		To	otal	
2012		3		3		

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of demonstration farms established.

Year	Target	Actual			
2012	10				

1. Output Target

Number of publications for lay use.

	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Year	Target	Actual			
2012	4				

1. Output Target

Number of conference paper and publication/presentation.

Year	Target	Act	ual
2012	4		

1. Output Target

Expected Professional Journal publications.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	3	

1. Output Target

Expected Gray Literatures.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	15	

1. Output Target

Expected publications for lay use.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	5	

IV (E): State Defined Outcome Measures

- 1. Outcome Target: Increase awareness in the communities and prospective and existing industry about sustainable, site-specific, and low energy aquaculture technologies.
- 2. Outcome Type
 - _x_ Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
 - ___ Change in Action Outcome Measure
 - Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	850	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue	(Who	cares	and	Why?):	(500	Char	Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:							
COM-FSM:							
3. As	sociate KAs	from the Planned Program. (Check all tha	nt apply).				
	KA Code	Knowledge Area					
X	135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife					
Х	136	Conservation of Biological Diversity					
X	301	Reproductive Performance of Animals					
X	302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals					
X	307	Animal Management Systems					
_	308 315	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest) Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection)				
X	511	New and Improved Non-Food Products	and				
^	311	Processes	and				
•		ned Outcome Measure					
	technologie		sustainable aquaculture				
2.	Outcome T	•					
		e in Knowledge Outcome Measure					
		ge in Action Outcome Measure e in Condition Outcome Measure					
	Change	e in Condition Outcome Measure					
	r by Quantitat htitative Outco	rive and/or Qualitative Method Below as appro ome	opriate.				
Year		Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual				
2012		350					
Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)							
PCC: CMI:							
COM-FSM:							
What has been done: (500 Char Max)							
PCC:	PCC:						
CMI:	CMI:						

COM-FSM:							
Resul	ts: (1000 C	Char Max)					
PCC:							
014							
CMI:							
COM-	FSM:						
3. Ass	sociate KA	s from the Planned Program. (Check all tha	t apply).				
	KA Code	Knowledge Area					
Х	135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife					
Х	136	Conservation of Biological Diversity					
Х	301	Reproductive Performance of Animals					
Х	302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals					
Х	307	Animal Management Systems					
Х	308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)					
Х	315	Animal Welfare/Well-being & Protection					
Х	511	New and Improved Non-Food Production Processes	ets and				
IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures1. Outcome Target: Number of established aquaculture operations.2. Outcome Type							
		ge in Knowledge Outcome Measure					
		ge in Action Outcome Measure					
x Change in Condition Outcome Measure							
Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome							
Year		Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual				
2012		35					
Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)							
PCC:							
CMI:							
COM-FSM:							

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
Results: (1000 Char Max)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
Х	135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
Х	136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
Χ	301	Reproductive Performance of Animals
Х	302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals
Х	307	Animal Management Systems
Χ	308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)
Х	315	Animal Welfare/Well-being & Protection
Х	511	New and Improved Non-Food Products and
		Processes

IV (H): Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Ev	aluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)
A	fter Only (post program)
R	etrospective (post program)
_ _ _ B	efore-After (before and after program)
D	uring (during program)
T	ime series (multiple points before and after program)
C	ase study
C	comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-
partici	pants
C	omparison between different groups of individuals or program participants
experi	iencing different levels of program intensity;
C	omparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program
interve	ention;
0	other(s)

What are your Evaluation	n Results? (3200 charact	ers)	
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			
Key Items of the Evalua	tion(s) for CSREES Attent	tion. (3200 characte	rs)
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			
IV (I): Planned Program	(Outcome)		
Natural Disasters Economy Appropriation cha Public Policy char Government regu Competing Public Competing Progra Population chang Other,	nges lations priorities	s, etc.) al groupings, etc.)	Opportunity to
discuss Unmet Goals).		ed the outcomes. (C	opportunity to
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			
IV (A): Planned Program Name of Planned Program	` •		
1. Enter the program Kno column must equal either	wledge Areas (up to 20) an 100% or 0%).	d a percentage for ea	ach (total of each
KA Code Knowledge	Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research

703	Nutrition Education and Behavior	25	25
704	Nutrition and Hunger in the Population	25	25
724	Healthy Lifestyle	25	25
802	Human Development and Family Well-being	25	25
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension			Research	
	1862	1890	1862		1890
Plan 2012	3.4		0		

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension				Rese	arch		
Smith-Lever 3b a 2012	& 3c \$	1890	Extension	\$	Hatch 0	Evans-Allen	
1862 Matching 2012 0		1890 Match 0	ing 1862	Matchi 0	ng	1890 Matching 0	
1862 All Other		1890 All Oth	ner	1862	All Oth	er	1890
All Other 2012 0		0	0	0			

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

The targeted audiences include children, teachers, parents, school administrators, policy makers, and others.

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Direct Cont	acts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contact Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2012	1200	3000	3000	3000

Plan 2012

Number of patents (Standard Research Output).Patents Received

Year Target Plan 0 2012 0

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

Extension Research Total Plan 2 0 2012

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of participants with increased knowledge on healthy food and physical activity.

Year Target Actual 2012 4200

1. Output Target

Number of extension publications on childhood obesity.

Year Target Actual 2012 12

Output Ta Number of tra	•	od obesity conducted	d.
Year 2012	Target 30	Actual	
IV (E): State	Defined Outcome	e Measures	
Outco physical activ		er of persons who ind	creased knowledge in healthy food and
Change	ype in Knowledge Outo in Action Outcome in Condition Outco	Measure	
Enter by Qua Quantitative		ualitative Method Bel	ow as appropriate.
Year 2012	Quantitative Targe 2400	et (If appropriate)	Actual
	Outcome or Impac cares and Why?):		
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			
What has be	en done: (500 Ch	ar Max)	
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			
Results: (10	00 Char Max)		
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			

3. Associate	KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
KA Code 703 704 724 802	Knowledge Area Nutrition Education and Behavior Nutrition and Hunger in the Population Healthy Lifestyle Human Development and Family Well-being
IV (F): State	Defined Outcome Measure
Outco physical exer	me Target – Number of persons who adopted knowledge in healthy food and cise.
2.Outcome T	уре
x_ Change	in Knowledge Outcome Measure e in Action Outcome Measure in Condition Outcome Measure
Enter by Qua Quantitative	antitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Outcome
Year 2012	Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual 500
	Outcome or Impact Statement cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
What has be	een done: (500 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
Results: (10	00 Char Max)
PCC:	

CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
3. Associate	KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
KA Code 703 704 724 802	Knowledge Area Nutrition Education and Behavior Nutrition and Hunger in the Population Healthy Lifestyle Human Development and Family Well-being
IV (G): State	e Defined Outcome Measures
1. Outco	me Target: Reduction in the number of obese children.
2. Outco	ome Type
Change	in Knowledge Outcome Measure in Action Outcome Measure e in Condition Outcome Measure
Enter by Qua Quantitative	antitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Outcome
Year 2012	Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual 250
	Outcome or Impact Statement cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
What has be	een done: (500 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	

Results: (1000 Char Max)	
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
3. Associate KAs from the Plan	nned Program. (Check all that apply).
704 Nutrition and F 724 Healthy Lifesty	ation and Behavior Hunger in the Population
IV (H): Planned Program (Evalua	tion)
participants Comparison between different different levels of program intensity	program) efore and after program) m participants (individual, group, organization) & non- groups of individuals or program participants experiencing y; where the program operates and sites without program
What are your Evaluation Result	s? (3200 characters)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for	or CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)
PCC:	
CMI:	

COM-FSM:

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

 External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply) Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
Economy
Appropriation changes
Public Policy changes
Government regulations
Competing Public priorities
Competing Programmatic Challenges
Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
Other,
Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals). (3200 characters).
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)? Name of Planned Program: Climate Change

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862	Extension	%1862 Rese	earch
111	Conservation and Efficient Use of Water		14.0		3.0
112	Watershed Protection and Management		20.0		42.0
132	Weather and Climate		12.0		10.0
133	Pollution Prevention and Mitigation		18.0		5.0
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection	n	22.0		22.0
141	Air Resource Protection and Manageme	nt	8.0		8.0
125	Agroforestry		3.0		5.0
131	Alternative Uses of Land				
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity				
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife				
134	Outdoor Recreation				
203	Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic St	resses A	Affecting Pla	ınts	
205	Natural Resource and Environmental Ec	onomics	3.0		5.0
Total			100		100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension 1862	1890	Research 1862	1890
Plan 2012	1.80		2.20	

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension						Research	
Smith-Lever 2012	3b & \$	3c 0	\$	189 0	0 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
1862 Matchi Matching 2012	ing	0	189 0	0 Matc 0	hing 0	1862 Matching	1890

1862 All Other		1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890
2012 0	0 0	0		
IV. (C): Plan	ned Progran	n (Activity and Partio	cipation)	
1. Brief des	cription of A	activity (What was do	one?): (3200 character	s)
PCC:				
CMI:				
COM-FSM:				
1. Brief	description	of the target audiend	ce. (3200 characters)	
IV (D): Plan	ned Progran	n (Outputs).		
		per of persons (contansion Output).	cts) to be reached thro	ough direct and indirect
Direct Contac	ts Adults Ind	direct Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth In	ndirect Contact Youth
Year Plan 2012	Target 300	Target 500	Target 300	Target 500
2. Number of Patents Received	• '	ındard Research Outp	out).	
Year Plan 2012	Targe 0 0	et		
If patents red	eived, please	e list them here.		
3. Publication	ns (Standard	General Output Meas	sure).	
Number of P Target 2012	eer Reviewed Extension 0	d Publications.	Research 2	Total 2
IV (E): State	Defined Ou	tput Measure		

1. Output Target Number of participants who increased their knowledge on climate change.

Year 2012	Targe 2000	et	Actual				
1. Output Ta	_	lications on cli	mate change.				
Year 2012	Target 5	Actual					
Output Ta Number of tra	•	ucted on clima	te change.				
Year 2012	Target 12	Actual					
IV (E): State	Defined Out	tcome Measu	res				
	_	Number of ped how to mitigate		ncreased	knowledge an	d awareness o)1
x Change Change	in Action Out	e Outcome Me come Measur Outcome Mea	е				
Enter by Qua Quantitative		or Qualitative	Method Belov	w as appro	opriate.		
Year 2012	Quantitative 2000	Target (If app	ropriate)	Actual			
		Impact Stater /hy?): (500 Ch					
PCC:							
CMI:							
COM-FSM:							
What has be	een done: (5	00 Char Max)					
PCC:							
CMI:							

COM-FSM:	
Results: (10	000 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
3. Associate	KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
KA Code 111 112 132 133 315 141 125 131 136 135 134 203 205	Knowledge Area Conservation and Efficient Use of Water Watershed Protection and Management Weather and Climate Pollution Prevention and Mitigation Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection Air Resource Protection and Management Agroforestry Alternative Uses of Land Conservation of Biological Diversity Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife Outdoor Recreation Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
IV (F): State	Defined Outcome Measure
1. Outco	ome Target – The total number of program participants adopting sustainable food echnologies.
2. Outco	ome Type
x_ Chang	in Knowledge Outcome Measure e in Action Outcome Measure in Condition Outcome Measure
Enter by Quantitative	antitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Outcome
Year 2012	Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual 1000
	Outcome or Impact Statement cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

een done: (500 Char Max)
00 Char Max)
KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
Knowledge Area Conservation and Efficient Use of Water Watershed Protection and Management Weather and Climate Pollution Prevention and Mitigation Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection Air Resource Protection and Management Agroforestry Alternative Uses of Land Conservation of Biological Diversity Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife Outdoor Recreation

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target: Number of establish farms and farm products.

Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

2. Outcome Type

203205

PCC:

Cł	nange in Knowledge Outcome Measure nange in Action Outcome Measure Change in Condition Outcome Measure				
	by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. tative Outcome				
Year 2012	3 - (
	ative Outcome or Impact Statement (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)				
PCC:					
CMI:					
COM-F	FSM:				
What I	nas been done: (500 Char Max)				
PCC:					
CMI:					
COM-F	FSM:				
Result	s: (1000 Char Max)				
PCC:					
CMI:					
COM-F	FSM:				
3.	Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).				
KA Co 111 112 132 133 315 141 125 131	de Knowledge Area Conservation and Efficient Use of Water Watershed Protection and Management Weather and Climate Pollution Prevention and Mitigation Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection Air Resource Protection and Management Agroforestry Alternative Uses of Land				

136 135	Conservation of Biological Diversity Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
134	Outdoor Recreation
203	Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
205	Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
IV (H): Plann	ned Program (Evaluation)
x_ After Or x_ Retrosp _x_ Before-A _x_ During (or x_ Time se x_ Case st x_ Compa participants x_ Compa different leve x_ Compa intervention;	ation studies Completed. (Check all that apply) nly (post program) pective (post program) fiter (before and after program) during program) eries (multiple points before and after program) tudy arisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non- rison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing ls of program intensity; arison between locales where the program operates and sites without program
What are yo	ur Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
Key Items of	f the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
IV (I): Planne	ed Program (Outcome)
Natural [Economy Appropri	actors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply) Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.) y ation changes olicy changes

 Government regulations Competing Public priorities Competing Programmatic Challenges Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.) Other,
Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals). (3200 characters).
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)? Name of Planned Program: Food Safety

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each

column must equal either 100% or 0%).

COIGITIII	must equal entiler 100 % of 0 %).		
KA	Knowledge Area	%1862	%1862
Code		Extension	Research
703	Nutrition Education and Behavior	15	15
711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful	20	20
	Substances		
712	Protect Food from Contamination by	15	15
	Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and		
	Naturally Occurring Toxin		
724	Healthy Lifestyle	20	20
701	Nutrient Composition of Food	15	15
702	Requirements and Function of Nutrients and	15	15
	Other Food Components		
	Total	100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

•			Extension				Re	search	
	186	62		1890		1862			1890
Plan	1.9				0.0				
2012									

III. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension					Research		
	Smith-Lever 3b & 3c Evans-Allen		1890 Ex	tension	Н	latch	
2012	\$	0		\$		0	
	1862 Matching 1890 Matching		1890 Matching		1862 Ma	atching	
2012	0	0		0		0	
	1862 All Other 1890 All Other		1890 All Other		1862 All	Other	
2012	0	0		0		\$	

IV. Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	600	3000	300	1500

2012							
Patents Red	Number of patents (Standard Research Output). Patents Received Year Target Plan 0						
If patents re	ceived, please	list them here	∋.				
3. Publication	ons (Standard	General Outpi	ut Measu	ıre).			
Number of F	Peer Reviewed	l Publications.		,			
Exter	nsion	Research		Total			
2012							
IV (E): State	IV (E): State Defined Output Measure						
Gatpat	a. 901						
Number of o	community wo	kshops condu	ıcted.				
Year	Target	•	Actual				
2012	6						
Output Target Number of participants who increased their knowledge on food safety.							
Year	Target		Actual				
2012	1000						
1. Output T	•		_				
	extension mate	rials publishe					
Year	Target	_	Actual				
2012	6						
 IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measures 3. Outcome Target - Number of program participants who increase knowledge and awareness on food safety issues. 							
4. Outcome Type _x_ Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure Change in Action Outcome Measure Change in Condition Outcome Measure							

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	1000	

Qualita	ative	Outco	me or	· Imp	act	t Sta	temer	nt
Issue ((Who	cares	and \	Nhy?	') : ((500	Char	Max)

CMI:	

PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

D00

COM-FSM:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA	Knowledge Area
	Code	Time meage 7 in ea
Χ	703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
Χ	711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Substances
Χ	701	Nutrient Composition of Food
Χ	702	Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food
		Components
Χ		
Χ		Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, including
		Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources
Χ	712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic
		Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin
Χ	724	Healthy Lifestyle

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

- 3. Outcome Target Number of program participants adopting recommended practices after completing educational programs.
- 4. Outcome Type

 ___ Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

<u>_X</u> _	Change i	n Action Outcome Measure
	Change i	n Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	750	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max) PCC: CMI:

What	has	been	done:	(500	Char	Max)
vviiat	IIUS	DCCII	donc.	JUU	Onai	wax,

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA	Knowledge Area
	Code	
Χ	701	Nutrient Composition of Food
Χ	702	Requirements and Functions of Nutrients and Other
		Food Components
Χ		
Χ		
Χ	703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
Χ	711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals,
		Including Residues from Agricultural and Other
		Sources
Χ	712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic

		Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin
Χ	724	Healthy Lifestyle

IV (H): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Ou	tcome Large	t – Reduce	incidences	of food-borne	e and wate	r-borne illnesses
-------	-------------	------------	------------	---------------	------------	-------------------

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
Change in Action Outcome Measure
x_ Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	250	

Qualita	ative	Outco	me o	r Imp	act	Sta	temer	nt
Issue ((Who	cares	and	Why?	?): ((500	Char	Max

\Box	\sim	\sim	
Г	C	C	

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA	Knowledge Area
	Code	-
Χ	703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
X	711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals,

		Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources
Χ	701	Nutrient Composition of Food
X	702	Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
X		
X	712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin
X	724	Healthy Lifestyle

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

 1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply) Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.) Economy Appropriation changes Public Policy changes Government regulations Competing Public priorities Competing Programmatic Challenges Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.) Other, brain-drain
Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
J). Planned Program (Evaluation)
 Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply) After Only (post program) Retrospective (post program) Before-After (before and after program) During (during program) Time series (multiple points before and after program) Case study Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants

Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity; Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention; Other(s)
What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)? Name of Planned Program: Global Food Security and Hunger

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
102	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship	10	10
112	Watershed Protection and Management	10	10
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity	10	10
202	Plant Genetic Resources	10	10
204	Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharve	est) 10	10
205	Plant Management Systems	10	10
212	Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plan	nts 5	5
216	Integrated Pest Management	20	20
315	Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection	n 5	5
601	Economics of Agricultural Production and	Farm	
	Management	10	10
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension 1862	1890	Research 1862	1890
Plan 2012	14.55		5.5	

III. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes

Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension Smith-Lever 3b & 3c			1890 Extension	Research Hat	ch	Evans-Allen	
2012 1862 Matchi	\$ ng	0	\$ 1890	0 Matching	1862 Matc	hing	1890
Matching							
2012	0	0	0	0			

IV. Planned Pro	ogram (Activity and Parti	cipation)				
Brief description o	Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)					
PCC:						
CMI:						
COM-FSM:						
1. Brief descrip	otion of the target audien	nce. (3200 character	rs)			
PCC:						
CMI:						
COM-FSM:						
IV (D): Planned P	rogram (Outputs).					
1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).						
Direct Contacts Adults Contact Youth	s Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Yout	h Indirect			
Year Target Plan 1200	Target 3000	Target 600	Target 1000			
2012						
Number of patents (Standard Research Output). Patents Received						
Year Target Plan 0 2012						
If patents received, please list them here.						
3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure). Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.						
Extension	Research	Total				

Target

2012 Total

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of demonstration farms established.

Year Target Actual

2012 12

1. Output Target

Number of publication for lay use.

Year Target Actual

2012 6

1. Output Target

Number of conference papers and publication/presentations.

Year Target Actual

3

Expected professional journal publication

2.Output Target Actual

3

Expected gray literature

Year Target Actual

2012 6

3.Output Target

Expected publication for lay use

Year Target Actual

2012 6

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measures

Outcome Target - Number of program participants who increase knowledge of appropriate production and processing technologies.

x Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure Change in Action Outcome Measure Change in Condition Outcome Measure							
	antitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.						
Year 2012	3 \ 11 1 /						
Qualitative	Outcome or Impact Statement						
Issue (Who	cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)						
PCC:							
CMI:							
COM-FSM:							
What has be	een done: (500 Char Max)						
PCC:							
CMI:							
COM-FSM:							
Results: (10	000 Char Max)						
PCC:							
CMI:							
COM-FSM:							
Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).							
KA Code	Knowledge Area						
102 112 136 202 204	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship Watershed Protection and Management Conservation of Biological Diversity Plant Genetic Resources Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)						

2. Outcome Type

205 212 216 315 601	Plant Management Systems Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants Integrated Pest Management Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
IV (G): State	Defined Outcome Measures
	me Target - Number of program participants adopting recommended practices ing educational programs.
6. Outco	me Type
x Change	in Knowledge Outcome Measure in Action Outcome Measure in Condition Outcome Measure
Enter by Qua Quantitative	antitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Outcome
Year 2012	Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual 1800
	Outcome or Impact Statement cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
What has be	en done: (500 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
Results: (10	00 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
101 112	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship Watershed Protection and Management
136 202	Conservation of Biological Diversity Plant Genetic Resources
204	Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
205	Plant Management Systems
212 216	Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants Integrated Pest Management
315 601	Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

IV (H): State Defined Outcome Measures

4. Outcome Target – Number of established farms and farm products.

5.Outcome	Type
-----------	------

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure	
Change in Action Outcome Measure	
x_ Change in Condition Outcome Measure	

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome

Year Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual

2012 250

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max

issue (wito cares and wity:). (500 Cr
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
What has been done: (500 Char Max)
PCC:

CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
Results: (10	000 Char Max)
PCC:	
CMI:	
COM-FSM:	
3. Associate	KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
KA Code 102 112 136 202 204 205 212 216 315 601	Knowledge Area Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship Watershed Protection and Management Conservation of Biological Diversity Plant Genetic Resources Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest) Plant Management Systems Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants Integrated Pest Management Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
IV (I): Plann	ed Program (Outcome)
Natural Econom Appropr Public P Governr Compet Compet	viation changes Policy changes ment regulations ing Public priorities ing Programmatic Challenges ion changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
Brief explar	nation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to met Goals)
PCC:	
CMI:	

COM-FSM:

J). Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)
After Only (post program) Retrospective (post program) Before-After (before and after program) During (during program)
Retrospective (post program)
During (during program)
Time series (multiple points before and after program)
Time series (multiple points before and after program) Case study
Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants
Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;
Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program
intervention;
Other(s)
What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)? Name of Planned Program: Families, Youths & Communities

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA C	ode Knowledge Area %1862	Extension	%1862 Research
608	Community Resource Planning & Development	25.0	0
801	Individual and Family Resource Management	20.0	0
802	Human Development and Family Well-being	20.0	0
804	Human Environmental Issues Concerning Appar	el, Textiles, and	Residential and
Comr	nercial Structures	10.0	0
806	Youth Development	25	0
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension 1862	1890	Research 1862	1890	
Plan 2012	8.45		0		

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2007 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension Research

Smith-Lever 3b & 3c Evans-Allen			3C	1890 Extens	ion	Hatch		
2012		0	0	0				
1862 Match	Matchin ning	ng		1890 Matchir	ng	1862 Matchi	ng	1890
2012	_	0	0	0				
1862 All Ot	All Oth	er		1890 All Othe	er	1862 All Oth	er	1890
2012	0	0	0	0				

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

1.	Brief description	n of Activity (What wa	as done?): (3200 chara	acters)
PCC:				
CMI:				
COM-	FSM:			
Brief	description of th	ne target audience. (32	200 characters)	
PCC:				
CMI:				
COM-	-FSM:			
IV (E)): Planned Progr	ram (Outputs).		
	mber of patents (S its Received	tandard Research Out	put).	
		ne actual number of pe Standard Extension Ou	rsons (contacts) to be r tput).	eached through direct
	Contacts Adults I Target	ndirect Contacts Adults Target	Direct Contacts Youth Target	Indirect Contact Youth Target
Plan 2012	900	2700	2000	3600
Plan 2012	0			
If pate	ents received, plea	se list them here.		
3. Pul	olications (Standar	d General Output Mea	sure).	
Numb	er of Peer Review Extension	red Publications. Research	Total	
2012	0	11656a1CH	i Otal	
IV / (E)	. Ctata Datina d O	t.at Magazina		

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target Number of training conducted targeting youths.

Year 2012	Target 18	Actual	
Output Tar Number of tra		argeting families and	youths in the communities.
Year 2012	Target 18	Actual	
Output Tar Total number	get of youth clubs org	ganized.	
Year 2012	Target 3	Actual	
IV (F): State I	Defined Outcome	e Measures	
	ne Target - Numb tionship with pare	_	eased awareness and understanding of
2. Outcor	ne Type		
Change i	n Knowledge Outon n Action Outcome n Condition Outco	Measure	
Enter by Quai	ntitative and/or Qu	ualitative Method Bel	ow as appropriate.
	Outcome Quantitative Targo 1200	et (If appropriate)	Actual
	utcome or Impac ares and Why?):		
PCC:			
CMI:			
COM-FSM:			
What has bee	en done: (500 Ch	ar Max)	
PCC:			
CMI:			

COM-FSM:				
Results: (1000 Char Max)				
PCC:				
CMI:				
COM-FSM:				
3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).				
KA Code Knowledge Area x 608 Community Resource Planning & Development x 801 Individual and Family Resource Management x 802 Human Development and Family Well-being x 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential ar Commercial Structures x 806 Youth Development				
IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures				
1. Outcome Target - Number of youths and families adopting interpersonal skills to improve quality of life and harmony in the family.				
2. Outcome Type				
Change in Knowledge Outcome Measurex_ Change in Action Outcome Measure Change in Condition Outcome Measure				
Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome				
Year Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual 2012				
Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)				
PCC:				
CMI:				
COM-FSM:				

What has been done: (500 Char Max)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
Results: (1000 Char Max)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
KA Code Knowledge Area x 608 Community Resource Planning & Development x 801 Individual and Family Resource Management x 802 Human Development and Family Well-being x 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures x 806 Youth Development
IV (H): State Defined Outcome Measures
2. Outcome Target - Total number of families and youths benefiting from the use of learned skills.
3. Outcome Type
Change in Knowledge Outcome MeasureChange in Action Outcome MeasureChange in Condition Outcome Measure
Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate. Quantitative Outcome
Year Quantitative Target (If appropriate) Actual 2012 300

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
What has been done: (500 Char Max)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
Results: (1000 Char Max)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).
KA Code Knowledge Area X 608 Community Resource Planning & Development x 801 Individual and Family Resource Management x 802 Human Development and Family Well-being x 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures x 806 Youth Development
IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)
1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply) Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.) Economy Appropriation changes Public Policy changes Government regulations Competing Public priorities Competing Programmatic Challenges Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

Other
Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
IV (J): Planned Program (Evaluation)
1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply) After Only (post program) Retrospective (post program) Before-After (before and after program) During (during program) Time series (multiple points before and after program) Case study Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity; Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention; Other(s)
What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)
PCC:
CMI:
COM-FSM:
IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Sustainable Energy-Not Implemented Due to Lack of Resources

- V. Expenditure Summary
- 1. Total Actual Formula dollars Allocated (prepopulated from C-REEMS) and Actual Total Formula, Matching and Other dollars Expended for FY 2009 (automatic addition from Planned Programs)

Extension Research
Year:
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 1890 Extension Hatch
Evans-Allen
Allocated \$ 0 0

2. Total Actual dollars from Planned Programs input Screens

Actual Formula	0	0	0	0
Actual Matching	0	0	0	0
Actual Other	0	0	0	0
Total Actual	0	0	0	0
Expended				

3. Amount of Above Actual Formula Dollars Expended for FY 2007 which comes from Carryover funds from previous years.

		,			
Carryover	0	0	0	0	