

**2012 Annual Report
College of Micronesia**

I. Report Overview

1. Enter an Executive Summary for your Fiscal Year 2012 Report (6400 characters).

2. Enter the Total Actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs for the State.
Extension
Research

Year: 2012

	1862	1890	1862	1890	Others*
Plan	40		10		
Actual					

II. Merit Review Process

1. Select the Merit Review Process that was employed for this year. (Check all that apply).

- Internal University (college) Panel
- External University Panel
- External Non-University Panel
- Combined Internal and External University Panel
- Combined Internal and External University and External Non-University Panel
- Expert Peer Review
- Other _____

2. Tell us about your Merit Review and/or Peer Review Process completed this year. (3200 characters).

Project proposals were developed as a result of meetings and consultation with stakeholders and also based on existing plans of work for research and extension. The proposals were submitted to a publication, merit or scientifically acceptable peer review committees for comments and suggestions. Other special project proposals were subject to peer review within and outside of the colleges by other stakeholders and also subjected to review by advisory committees. Proposals were also posted on websites. Once comments were incorporated into the proposals, the Vice-President of Cooperative Research and Extension then submitted them for review and approval at each college. Final proposals were submitted to the AES/CES Interim Director through the college Presidents for approval or disapproval.

III. Stakeholders Input

1. Actions taken to seek stakeholder’s input that encourages their participation. (Check all that apply.)

- Use of media to announce public meetings and listening sessions

- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder groups
- Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder groups
- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder individuals
- Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder individuals
- Targeted invitation to selected Individual from general public
- Survey of traditional stakeholder groups
- Survey of traditional stakeholder individuals
- Survey of general public
- Survey specifically with non-traditional groups
- Survey specifically with non-traditional individuals
- Survey of selected individuals from the general public
- Other _____

Briefly explain how you encouraged stakeholder participation (3200 characters).

When meetings were called to discuss research and extension planned activities, stakeholders such as community leaders, farmers, homemakers, traditional leaders and political leaders, were directly involved in the discussions. Many of their suggestions and comments were included in the planned research and extension activities. In some cases, research activities were done in farmers' fields and in so doing farmers participated directly in the implementation of projects. Scheduled meetings were also held in the communities to inform community leaders, farmers, and homemakers, political and traditional leaders about progress being made with research and extension activities. During these meetings, stakeholders were given the opportunity to ask questions, make comments, and share traditional knowledge and even suggested changes or other activities that are more important and relevant to the needs of their communities. Other methods of encouraging stakeholder participation were done through direct meetings and workshops with different sectors of the population to solicit their inputs in identifying priority issues.

2(A). A brief statement of the process that was used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them. (Part – 1)

1. Method to identify individuals and groups. (Check all that apply)

- Use Advisory Committee
- Use Internal focus Group
- Use External Focus Groups
- Open Listening Sessions
- Needs Assessments
- Use Surveys
- other ___ Referred by others _____

Briefly explain your methods for identifying individuals and groups. (3200 characters)

Farmers, homemakers, political, traditional and community leaders were requested to identify names of individuals or groups in their respective communities who should be attending

meetings and workshops. Other individuals were those working on similar programs with other agencies and those recommended by peers. Those identified were informed via letter, radio or through personal visits when meetings or trainings were held. Other methods were through strategic planning meetings, interagency collaboration, community associations and direct client contact and needs assessment surveys directly in the field. Meetings/discussions were also held with school authorities, church leaders, parents and the general public on the implementation of community projects.

2 (B). A brief statement of the process that was used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them:

1. Methods for collecting stakeholders input. (Check all that apply).

- Meeting with traditional Stakeholders groups;
- Survey of traditional Stakeholder groups
- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder Individuals
- Survey of traditional Stakeholder Individuals
- Meeting with the general public (open meeting advertised to all)
- Survey of the general public
- Meeting specifically with non-traditional groups
- Survey specifically with non-traditional groups
- Meeting specifically with non-traditional individuals
- Survey specifically with non-traditional individuals
- Meeting with invited selected individuals from the general public
- Survey of selected Individuals from the general public
- Other _____

Briefly explain your methods for collecting stakeholder input. (3200 characters)

Some of the methods used for collecting stakeholder input were one-on-one visits conducted in the communities and through discussions and interviews with community leaders. Surveys and field observations in addition to farmers association and other community meetings were also used. Youth programs were developed through discussions with schools, church and community groups and through direct assistance to government agencies such as the Early Childhood Education (ECE) recruitment programs. Stakeholders were directly involved in identifying positions and hiring of new upper level staff. Other methods used were questionnaires, need assessments, Board of Regents reviews, annual retreat, cabinet level meetings and student recruitment campaigns.

3. How the input was considered. (Check all that apply).

- In the Budget Process
- To identify emerging issues
- Redirect Extension Programs
- Redirect Research Program
- In the Staff Hiring Process
- In the action plans

___ To Set Priorities
 ___ Others _____

Briefly explain how you used the input given by stakeholders (3200 characters).

During meetings with stakeholders, suggestions, comments and modifications from them were sorted out and those with positive impacts to research and extension project proposals were incorporated. It also helped with planning and prioritization of the next year’s planned program activities. The review of strategic action plans, hiring of senior research and administrative positions, and focusing on special projects were also used to collect stakeholder inputs. State agencies assisted in developing programs and focus budgets for activities supported by matching funds through MOAs.

Key Stakeholder input items for CSREES Attention: What did you learn from your Stakeholders? (3200 characters)

We learned that farmers, homemakers, fishermen, community groups and others are good sources of traditional knowledge which can be applied and used to improve social, agricultural and environmental issues. Entrepreneurs interested in business development lack marketing strategies and training is necessary for them to be successful.

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Aquaculture

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife	10	10
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity	10	10
301	Reproductive Performance of Animals	10.0	10.0
302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals	15.0	15.0
307	Animal Management Systems	15.0	15.0
308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)	10	10.0
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection	10.0	10.0
511	New and Improved Non-Food Products and Processes	10.0	10.0
608	Community Resource Planning and Management	10	10
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

1862
Extension
1862
Research

1890

1890

Plan	3.0		2.0	
2012				

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension
Research

Smith-Lever 3b & 3c
Evans-Allen
1890 Extension
Hatch

2012	\$	0	\$	0
------	----	---	----	---

1862 Matching
1890 Matching
1890 Matching
1862 Matching

2012	0	0	0	0
------	---	---	---	---

1862 All Other
1890 All Other
1890 All Other
1862 All Other

2012	0	0	0	0
------	---	---	---	---

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

1. Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Direct Contacts Adults Indirect Contacts Adults Direct Contacts Youth Indirect Contact Youth

Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	500	3000	350	3000
2012				

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).

Patents Received

Year Target

Plan	0
2012	0

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

	Extension	Research	Total
2012		3	3

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of demonstration farms established.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	10	

1. Output Target

Number of publications for lay use.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	4	

1. Output Target

Number of conference paper and publication/presentation.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	4	

1. Output Target

Expected Professional Journal publications.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	3	

1. Output Target

Expected Gray Literatures.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	15	

1. Output Target

Expected publications for lay use.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	5	

IV (E): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target: Increase awareness in the communities and prospective and existing industry about sustainable, site-specific, and low energy aquaculture technologies.

2. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	850	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
x	135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
x	136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
X	301	Reproductive Performance of Animals
x	302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals
X	307	Animal Management Systems
X	308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)
x	315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection
x	511	New and Improved Non-Food Products and Processes

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measure

1. Outcome Target – Number of participants who adopted sustainable aquaculture technologies.
2. Outcome Type
 Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
 Change in Action Outcome Measure
 Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	350	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
x	135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
x	136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
x	301	Reproductive Performance of Animals
x	302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals
X	307	Animal Management Systems
X	308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)
x	315	Animal Welfare/Well-being & Protection
x	511	New and Improved Non-Food Products and Processes

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. **Outcome Target:** Number of established aquaculture operations.

2. Outcome Type

___ Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

___ Change in Action Outcome Measure

x Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	35	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
x	135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
x	136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
x	301	Reproductive Performance of Animals
x	302	Nutrient Utilization in Animals
x	307	Animal Management Systems
X	308	Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)
x	315	Animal Welfare/Well-being & Protection
x	511	New and Improved Non-Food Products and Processes

IV (H): Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)

- After Only (post program)
- Retrospective (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)
- Time series (multiple points before and after program)
- Case study
- Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants
- Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;
- Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention;
- Other(s) _____

What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply)

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriation changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- Other, _____

Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals). (3200 characters).

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Childhood Obesity

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
---------	----------------	-----------------	----------------

703	Nutrition Education and Behavior	25	25
704	Nutrition and Hunger in the Population	25	25
724	Healthy Lifestyle	25	25
802	Human Development and Family Well-being	25	25
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan 2012	3.4		0	

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension		Research		
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 2012	\$ 0	1890 Extension	Hatch \$ 0	Evans-Allen
1862 Matching 2012	0	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
		0	0	0
1862 All Other		1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890
All Other 2012	0	0	0	0

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

1. Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

The targeted audiences include children, teachers, parents, school administrators, policy makers, and others.

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contact Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target
2012	1200	3000	3000

Plan
2012

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).
Patents Received

Year	Target
Plan	0
2012	0

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan	2	0	
2012			

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of participants with increased knowledge on healthy food and physical activity.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	4200	

1. Output Target
Number of extension publications on childhood obesity.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	12	

1. Output Target

Number of trainings on childhood obesity conducted.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	30	

IV (E): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target: Number of persons who increased knowledge in healthy food and physical activity.

2. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	2400	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
704	Nutrition and Hunger in the Population
724	Healthy Lifestyle
802	Human Development and Family Well-being

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measure

1. Outcome Target – Number of persons who adopted knowledge in healthy food and physical exercise.

2. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.
Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	500	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
704	Nutrition and Hunger in the Population
724	Healthy Lifestyle
802	Human Development and Family Well-being

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target: Reduction in the number of obese children.

2. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.
Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	250	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
704	Nutrition and Hunger in the Population
724	Healthy Lifestyle
802	Human Development and Family Well-being

IV (H): Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

Before-After (before and after program)

During (during program)

Time series (multiple points before and after program)

Case study

Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants

Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;

Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention;

Other(s) _____

What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply)

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriation changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- Other,

Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals). (3200 characters).

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?
Name of Planned Program: Climate Change

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
111	Conservation and Efficient Use of Water	14.0	3.0
112	Watershed Protection and Management	20.0	42.0
132	Weather and Climate	12.0	10.0
133	Pollution Prevention and Mitigation	18.0	5.0
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection	22.0	22.0
141	Air Resource Protection and Management	8.0	8.0
125	Agroforestry	3.0	5.0
131	Alternative Uses of Land		
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity		
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife		
134	Outdoor Recreation		
203	Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants		
205	Natural Resource and Environmental Economics	3.0	5.0
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension 1862	1890	Research 1862	1890
Plan 2012	1.80		2.20	

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension		Research			
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 2012	\$	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen	
	0	\$ 0			
1862 Matching 2012	0	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890	
	0	0	0	0	

1862 All Other		1890 All Other		1862 All Other		1890
All Other						
2012	0	0	0	0		

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

1. Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contact Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	300	500	300	500
2012				

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).

Patents Received

Year	Target
Plan	0
2012	0

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

	Extension	Research	Total
Target	0	2	2
2012			

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of participants who increased their knowledge on climate change.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	2000	

1. Output Target

Number of Extension publications on climate change.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	5	

1. Output Target

Number of trainings conducted on climate change.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	12	

IV (E): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target: Number of persons who increased knowledge and awareness of climate change impact and how to mitigate it.

2. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	2000	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
111	Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
112	Watershed Protection and Management
132	Weather and Climate
133	Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection
141	Air Resource Protection and Management
125	Agroforestry
131	Alternative Uses of Land
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
134	Outdoor Recreation
203	Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
205	Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measure

1. Outcome Target – The total number of program participants adopting sustainable food production technologies.

2. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.
Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	1000	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
111	Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
112	Watershed Protection and Management
132	Weather and Climate
133	Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection
141	Air Resource Protection and Management
125	Agroforestry
131	Alternative Uses of Land
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
134	Outdoor Recreation
203	Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
205	Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target: Number of establish farms and farm products.
2. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.
Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	125	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
111	Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
112	Watershed Protection and Management
132	Weather and Climate
133	Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
315	Animal Welfare/Well-being and Protection
141	Air Resource Protection and Management
125	Agroforestry
131	Alternative Uses of Land

136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
135	Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
134	Outdoor Recreation
203	Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
205	Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

IV (H): Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)

- After Only (post program)
- Retrospective (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)
- Time series (multiple points before and after program)
- Case study
- Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants
- Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;
- Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention;
- Other(s) _____

What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply)

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriation changes
- Public Policy changes

- ___ Government regulations
- ___ Competing Public priorities
- ___ Competing Programmatic Challenges
- ___ Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- ___ Other,

Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals). (3200 characters).

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Food Safety

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
703	Nutrition Education and Behavior	15	15
711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Substances	20	20
712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin	15	15
724	Healthy Lifestyle	20	20
701	Nutrient Composition of Food	15	15
702	Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components	15	15
	Total	100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

1862 Extension 1890 1862 Research 1890

Plan	1.9		0.0	
2012				

III. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

		Extension		Research		
		Smith-Lever 3b & 3c Evans-Allen	1890 Extension	Hatch		
2012	\$	0	\$	0		
		1862 Matching 1890 Matching	1890 Matching	1862 Matching		
2012	0	0	0	0		
		1862 All Other 1890 All Other	1890 All Other	1862 All Other		
2012	0	0	0	\$		

IV. Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Direct Contacts Adults		Indirect Contacts Adults		Direct Contacts Youth		Indirect Contact Youth	
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	600	3000	300	1500			

2012				
------	--	--	--	--

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).

Patents Received

Year Target

Plan	0
2012	

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

	Extension	Research	Total
2012			

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of community workshops conducted.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	6	

1. Output Target

Number of participants who increased their knowledge on food safety.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	1000	

1. Output Target

Number of extension materials published.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	6	

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measures

3. Outcome Target - Number of program participants who increase knowledge and awareness on food safety issues.

4. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	1000	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
X	703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
X	711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Substances
X	701	Nutrient Composition of Food
X	702	Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
X		
X		Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources
X	712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin
X	724	Healthy Lifestyle

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

3. Outcome Target - Number of program participants adopting recommended practices after completing educational programs.
4. Outcome Type
 ___ Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure
 Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	750	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
X	701	Nutrient Composition of Food
X	702	Requirements and Functions of Nutrients and Other Food Components
X		
X		
X	703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
X	711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources
X	712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic

		Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin
X	724	Healthy Lifestyle

IV (H): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target – Reduce incidences of food-borne and water-borne illnesses.

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	250	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

	KA Code	Knowledge Area
X	703	Nutrition Education and Behavior
X	711	Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals,

		Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources
X	701	Nutrient Composition of Food
X	702	Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
X		
X	712	Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occurring Toxin
X	724	Healthy Lifestyle

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply)

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriation changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- Other, brain-drain

Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

J). Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)

- After Only (post program)
- Retrospective (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)
- Time series (multiple points before and after program)
- Case study
- Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants

- ___ Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;
- ___ Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention;
- ___ Other(s) _____

What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Global Food Security and Hunger

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
102	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship	10	10
112	Watershed Protection and Management	10	10
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity	10	10
202	Plant Genetic Resources	10	10
204	Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)	10	10
205	Plant Management Systems	10	10
212	Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants	5	5
216	Integrated Pest Management	20	20
315	Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection	5	5
601	Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management	10	10
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	14.55		5.5	
2012				

III. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2012 (includes

Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension			Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c		1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
2012	\$ 0	\$ 0	1862 Matching	1890
1862 Matching		1890 Matching		
Matching				
2012	0	0	0	0

IV. Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

1. Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contact Youth
------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	1200	3000	600	1000

2012

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).

Patents Received

Year	Target
Plan	0

2012

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

	Extension	Research	Total
Target	3	3	

2012

Total

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of demonstration farms established.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	12	

1. Output Target

Number of publication for lay use.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	6	

1. Output Target

Number of conference papers and publication/presentations.

Year	Target	Actual
	3	

Expected professional journal publication

2.Output	Target	Actual
	3	

Expected gray literature

Year	Target	Actual
2012	6	

3.Output Target

Expected publication for lay use

Year	Target	Actual
2012	6	

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measures

Outcome Target - Number of program participants who increase knowledge of appropriate production and processing technologies.

2. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	2400	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
102	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship
112	Watershed Protection and Management
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
202	Plant Genetic Resources
204	Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management
- 315 Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

5. Outcome Target - Number of program participants adopting recommended practices after completing educational programs.

6. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.
Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	1800	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
101	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship
112	Watershed Protection and Management
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
202	Plant Genetic Resources
204	Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
205	Plant Management Systems
212	Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
216	Integrated Pest Management
315	Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection
601	Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

IV (H): State Defined Outcome Measures

4. Outcome Target – Number of established farms and farm products.

5. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	250	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
102	Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationship
112	Watershed Protection and Management
136	Conservation of Biological Diversity
202	Plant Genetic Resources
204	Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
205	Plant Management Systems
212	Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
216	Integrated Pest Management
315	Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection
601	Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply)

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriation changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- Other, brain-drain

Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

J). Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

Before-After (before and after program)

During (during program)

Time series (multiple points before and after program)

Case study

Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants

Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;

Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention;

Other(s) _____

What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Families, Youths & Communities

1. Enter the program Knowledge Areas (up to 20) and a percentage for each (total of each column must equal either 100% or 0%).

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1862 Research
608	Community Resource Planning & Development	25.0	0
801	Individual and Family Resource Management	20.0	0
802	Human Development and Family Well-being	20.0	0
804	Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures	10.0	0
806	Youth Development	25	0
Total		100	100

IV (B). Planned Program Inputs

1. Enter the actual amount of professional FTEs/SYs expended for this Planned Program.

	Extension 1862	1890	Research 1862	1890
Plan 2012	8.45		0	

2. Enter Actual dollars Expended in this Planned Program during FY 2007 (includes Carryover Funds from previous years). The values must be whole number i.e. no commas or decimals are allowed.

Extension				Research			
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c Evans-Allen				1890 Extension		Hatch	
2012	0	0	0				
1862 Matching				1890 Matching		1862 Matching	
2012	0	0	0				1890
1862 All Other				1890 All Other		1862 All Other	
2012	0	0	0				1890

IV. (C): Planned Program (Activity and Participation)

1. Brief description of Activity (What was done?): (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Brief description of the target audience. (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (D): Planned Program (Outputs).

2. Number of patents (Standard Research Output).

Patents Received

Year Target 1. Enter the actual number of persons (contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect methods. (Standard Extension Output).

Direct Contacts Adults Year Target	Indirect Contacts Adults Target	Direct Contacts Youth Target	Indirect Contact Youth Target
Plan 2012 900	2700	2000	3600
Plan 0 2012			

If patents received, please list them here.

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure).

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications.

Extension	Research	Total
2012 0		

IV (E): State Defined Output Measure

1. Output Target

Number of training conducted targeting youths.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	18	

1. Output Target

Number of training conducted targeting families and youths in the communities.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	18	

1. Output Target

Total number of youth clubs organized.

Year	Target	Actual
2012	3	

IV (F): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target - Number of youth with increased awareness and understanding of roles and relationship with parents.

2. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	1200	

Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
x 608	Community Resource Planning & Development
x 801	Individual and Family Resource Management
x 802	Human Development and Family Well-being
x 804	Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
x 806	Youth Development

IV (G): State Defined Outcome Measures

1. Outcome Target - Number of youths and families adopting interpersonal skills to improve quality of life and harmony in the family.

2. Outcome Type

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

Change in Action Outcome Measure

Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.

Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	300	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC :

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
x 608	Community Resource Planning & Development
x 801	Individual and Family Resource Management
x 802	Human Development and Family Well-being
x 804	Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
x 806	Youth Development

IV (H): State Defined Outcome Measures

2. Outcome Target - Total number of families and youths benefiting from the use of learned skills.

3. Outcome Type

- Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure
- Change in Action Outcome Measure
- Change in Condition Outcome Measure

Enter by Quantitative and/or Qualitative Method Below as appropriate.
Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target (If appropriate)	Actual
2012	300	

**Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement
Issue (Who cares and Why?): (500 Char Max)**

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

What has been done: (500 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Results: (1000 Char Max)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

3. Associate KAs from the Planned Program. (Check all that apply).

KA Code	Knowledge Area
X 608	Community Resource Planning & Development
x 801	Individual and Family Resource Management
x 802	Human Development and Family Well-being
x 804	Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
x 806	Youth Development

IV (I): Planned Program (Outcome)

1. External factors which affected outcomes. (Check all that apply)

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriation changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Population changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

___ Other

Brief explanation of external factors which affected the outcomes. (Opportunity to discuss Unmet Goals)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (J): Planned Program (Evaluation)

1. Evaluation studies Completed. (Check all that apply)

___ After Only (post program)

___ Retrospective (post program)

___ Before-After (before and after program)

___ During (during program)

___ Time series (multiple points before and after program)

___ Case study

___ Comparisons between program participants (individual, group, organization) & non-participants

___ Comparison between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity;

___ Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention;

___ Other(s) _____

What are your Evaluation Results? (3200 characters)

PCC:

CMI:

COM-FSM:

Key Items of the Evaluation(s) for CSREES Attention. (3200 characters)

PCC :

CMI:

COM-FSM:

IV (A): Planned Program (Knowledge Area)?

Name of Planned Program: Sustainable Energy-Not Implemented Due to Lack of Resources

V. Expenditure Summary

1. Total Actual Formula dollars Allocated (prepopulated from C-REEMS) and Actual Total Formula, Matching and Other dollars Expended for FY 2009 (automatic addition from Planned Programs)

	Extension		Research	
Year:				
	Smith-Lever 3b & 3c		1890 Extension	Hatch
	Evans-Allen			
Allocated	\$ 0		0	

2. Total Actual dollars from Planned Programs input Screens

Actual Formula	0	0	0	0
Actual Matching	0	0	0	0
Actual Other	0	0	0	0
Total Actual Expended	0	0	0	0

3. Amount of Above Actual Formula Dollars Expended for FY 2007 which comes from Carryover funds from previous years.

Carryover	0	0	0	0
-----------	---	---	---	---