Breakout on JEMCO Resolution

Group #:	
Department:	
Campus:	
Position Title:	

President's Retreat 2011

Questions	Comments/Discussion
 What are the implications of the resolution on college finances? What would the impact of increasing tuition on enrollment and finances? What would be the impact of increasing the percent of college finances on PELL both short and long term (up to 2023)? In the long term (after 2023) how sustainable is the reliance on PELL grant for financing higher education in the FSM? 	
2. What are the implications of the resolution on facilities? What are the implications of the resolution on college structure?	•
3. What might be the college response? Is the information in the resolution factual? What issues are being raised by the resolution? How might the college address these issues to both the FSM Leadership and JEMCO? What are alternate revenue sources for the college? What data and information do we have now that may support a college position? What data and information do we need to gather to support a college position and who collects that information?	 Would make a commitment to find other sources of funding within two years, present data to JEMCO, and then discuss changes. We should plan and be prepared to show what we can do with \$8.3M Resolution is premature; JEMCO needs to (a) look at its own trend data to justify the proposed reduction, and (b) to assess EducationUSA, Compact impact, possibility of change in the PELL grant, etc. Economists tend to look at the numbers, but these alone cannot paint the whole situation especially for Micronesians. We can't maintain accreditation standards; with no warning, we cannot phase out programs because we won't have enough money to graduate existing students. One source could be state legislatures Local government support Need to do more lobbying with our congressmen